



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,078	10/05/2001	Evren Eryurek	P32.12-0019 4936		
7590 08/04/2005			EXAMINER		
Judson K. Champlin			HOLMES, MICHAEL B		
WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY International Centre - Suite 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 South Second Avenue			2121		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3319			DATE MAILED: 00/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/972,07	8	ERYUREK ET AL.				
		Examiner	_	Art Unit				
		Michael B.		2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever unication. D) days, a reply within the statu ututory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be ti tory minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONI	imely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>10 May 2005</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		•				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 31, 32</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>18-30</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	<u> </u>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>October 5, 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority		· ·					
	3. Copies of the certified copies			/ed in this National Stage				
* (application from the Internatio See the attached detailed Office actio	· ·	• ••	red				
•	see the attached detailed Office actio		red copies not receiv	· cu.				
Attachmer	it(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-158)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: <u>Detailed Office Action.</u>								

Application/Control Number: 09/972,078

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to application 09/972,078, filed October 09, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-32 have been examined.

Claim Objection(s)

3. The claims are misnumbered i.e., claims 12-30, should be renumbered claims 14-32. Claim 17 (misnumbered as claim 15), line 4, "mode" should be –model--. Appropriate correction is required. Claims 18-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,078

Art Unit: 2121

5. Claim 17 (misnumbered as claim 15) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Matsumoto et al. (USPN 5,333,240).

Regarding claim 17 (misnumbered as claim 15). Matsumoto et al. teaches a diagnostic method in

Page 3

an industrial process for identifying a root cause of an aberration in an industrial process, com-

prising: selecting a process model from a plurality of process models (see Fig. 12, power plant

neural network model 3000 has various neural network model groups 3100, 3200, 3300, and

column 15, line 33 to column 16, line 29, in particular, see column 16, lines 38-41, which teach

applying the present invention to the "diagnosis of the state of operation of various targets"),

each model related to a physical implementation of an industrial process (see column 15, lines

33-36), the selected model uniquely identifying one of the process models (see column 16, lines

4-19); receiving a plurality of process signals related to the process (input signals in Fig. 10, and

column 11, lines 29-34); and identifying a root cause indicative of the source of the aberration in

the process, which is a function of the selected model and the process signals (see Fig. 11, and

column 11, lines 58-60, which lists potential causes).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-14 and 31, 32 are allowed.

Correspondence Information

7. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes, who may be reached Monday through

Art Unit: 2121

Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-3686 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3686 or email Michael holmesb@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 746-7239.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (571) 272-3687.

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner Artificial Intelligence Art Unit 2121 United States Department of Commerce Patent & Trademark Office

Saturday, July 23, 2005 J. P. Por

MBH

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100